## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Timothy J. VAN HOOK Examiner: Joni HSU

Application No.: 09/625,812 Group Art Unit: 2628

Filing Date: July 26, 2000 Office Action Date: March 22, 2006

Docket No. 7046-13 Confirmation No. 8263

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR Customer No. 30076

INTERLEAVED GRAPHICS PROCESSING

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Dear Sirs:

In response to the Office Action of March 22, 2006, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims, which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 7 of this paper.

## INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS

Claims 1-18 and 23-33 are pending in the present application.

Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Joffe (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,584).

Claims 1-7, 9-11, 14-17, 23, 24, and 33 are rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joffe (U.S. Patent No. 6,330,584) in view of Krishna (U.S. Patent No. 6,161,173).

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims.